

National Indian Health Board



Seattle Indian Health Board  
For the Love of Native People



NORTHWEST PORTLAND AREA INDIAN HEALTH BOARD  
50 Years of Indian Health Leadership



AMERICAN INDIAN HIGHER EDUCATION CONSORTIUM



June 21, 2024

The Honorable Patty Murray  
Chair  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
S-128 Capitol Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Tom Cole  
Chair  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States House of Representatives  
H-307 Capitol Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Susan Collins  
Vice Chair  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
S-128 Capitol Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Rosa DeLauro  
Ranking Member  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States House of Representatives  
H-307 Capitol Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515

**Re: Support for the President’s FY25 Proposal for Mandatory CSC and 105(l) Funding**

Dear Chair Murray, Chair Cole, Vice Chair Collins, and Ranking Member DeLauro:

On behalf of the undersigned Tribal partner organizations and our members, we strongly support the proposal to reclassify Contract Support Costs and Section 105(l) Tribal Lease Payments as mandatory appropriations. We respectfully urge you to include the proposal in the FY25 Interior and Environment Appropriations bill (hereinafter “Interior bill”). The President’s budget request has consistently recommended this change since FY 2022.

Ten years ago, for FY14, the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations (hereinafter “Committees”) published a bipartisan, bicameral statement recognizing the mandatory nature and rapid growth of legally obligated Contract Support Costs (CSC) and expressing concern about the potential impact on the Interior bill. They stated:

*“[T]he House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are in the untenable position of appropriating discretionary funds for the payment of any legally obligated contract support costs. Typically obligations of this nature are addressed through mandatory spending, but in this case since they fall under discretionary spending, they have the potential to impact all other programs funded under the Interior and Environment Appropriations bill, including other equally important tribal programs.”<sup>1</sup>*

Six years later, for FY20, the Committees published a similar statement regarding payments related to Tribal Lease Payments required by Section 105(l) of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (hereinafter “105(l) payments”.) The Committees expressed concern that 105(l) payments “are negatively impacting the ability to use discretionary appropriations to support core tribal programs, including health, education and construction programs, or provide essential fixed cost requirements.”<sup>2</sup> The Committees called on the Department of the Interior and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to “formulate long-term accounting, budget, and legislative strategies to address the situation, including discussions about whether, in light of the Maniilaq decisions, these funds should be re-classified as an appropriated entitlement.”<sup>3</sup> Since FY22, the Departments of the Interior and HHS have requested that CSC and 105(l) payments be appropriated as mandatory, but Congress has not yet acted on this common-sense proposal.

After the Committees highlighted the problem in FY20, the Interior bill has continued to struggle to simultaneously address historically underfunded Tribal programs while keeping up with the growth of CSC and 105(l) payments. In FY24, appropriations for CSC and 105(l) payments increased by \$168 million (12%). However, these increases were offset by cuts to other Tribal programs in the Indian Health Service (IHS) and the Bureaus of Indian Affairs and Education. In all, the agencies received a combined \$23 million topline cut and were denied the \$421 million requested for fixed costs, inflation, and population growth to simply maintain current levels of service. FY25 is shaping up to be an even bigger challenge, with CSC and 105(l) payments estimated to increase by another \$268 million (17%).

On June 6, 2024, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the IHS must pay contract support costs on revenue collected from 3<sup>rd</sup> party payers like Medicare, Medicaid, and private insurance. This will undoubtedly have major budget implications for the IHS CSC budget on top of the annual increases impacting the budget. The government argued that this decision could cost up to \$2 billion annually, which would more than double the current CSC obligation. With the Fiscal Responsibility Act in place, domestic discretionary spending will increase by just 1%. This is not nearly enough to absorb these costs without further cuts to other essential services and programs. Now is the time to reclassify these costs appropriately, as mandatory funding.

---

<sup>1</sup> See page H975 of the Congressional Record for January 15, 2014, accessed on 5/21/2024 at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2014-01-15/pdf/CREC-2014-01-15-bk2.pdf>.

<sup>2</sup> See page H11281 of the Congressional Record for December 17, 2019, accessed on 5/21/2024 at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2019-12-17/pdf/CREC-2019-12-17-house-bk3.pdf>.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

Hon. Patty Murray et al.

June 21, 2024

Page 2

Simply put, both programs will continue to grow as they continue to be utilized by Tribal nations throughout the United States, and the Interior bill will continue to fail to meet its trust and treaty obligations to Tribes under the budget structure and process currently in place. Reclassifying CSC and 105(*I*) payments as mandatory would be a positive step and would be consistent with budgeting for most other Federal legal obligations where full and timely payments minimize litigation risk. Moreover, as with other recently reclassified mandatory appropriations in the Interior bill, the Committees could retain oversight of the programs.<sup>4</sup>

The President's FY25 proposal to reclassify CSC and 105(*I*) payments as mandatory is reasonable, fair, and a direct response to the Committee's bipartisan, bicameral calls for a long-term solution. Our organizations recognize and appreciate your strong leadership and bipartisan support over the years for Tribal self-determination. For the sake of continuing to improve the federal government's commitments to meeting its trust and treaty obligations under your leadership, we urge you to include the President's proposal in the FY25 Interior bill.

Sincerely,

National Indian Health Board  
National Congress of American Indians  
Self-Governance Communication and Education Tribal Consortium  
National Council of Urban Indian Health  
Alaska Federation of Natives  
Alaska Native Health Board  
Albuquerque Area Indian Health Board  
Association of American Indian Physicians  
Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians  
United South and Eastern Tribes Sovereignty Protection Fund  
Rocky Mountain Tribal Leaders Council  
Seattle Indian Health Board  
Rocky Mountain Tribal Leaders Council  
Northwest Portland Area Indian Health Board  
Great Lakes Area Tribal Health Board  
Inter-Tribal Association of Arizona  
California Rural Indian Health Board  
California Tribal Chairmans Association  
Coalition of Large Tribes  
Southern Plains Tribal Health Board  
Great Plains Tribal Leaders Health Board  
Midwest Alliance of Sovereign Tribes  
American Indian Higher Education Consortium  
National American Indian Housing Council  
National Indian Education Association  
National Indian Child Welfare Association  
National Native American Boarding School Healing Coalition  
Navajo Nation

---

<sup>4</sup> See section 430 of division E of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2024 (P.L. 118-42), accessed on 5/21/2024 at <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/4366/text>.